arXiv:1404.5465v1 [math.ST] 22 Apr 2014

Best prediction under a nested error model with log transformation*

Nirian Martín and Isabel Molina
Department of Statistics, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Key words: Empirical best estimator; Mean squared error; Parametric bootstrap.
MSC 2000: primary 62D05; secondary 62G09.

Abstract: In regression models involving economic variables such as income, log transformation is typically taken to achieve approximate normality and stabilize the variance. However, often the interest is predicting individual values or means of the variable in the original scale. Back transformation of predicted values introduces a non-negligible bias. Moreover, assessing the uncertainty of the actual predictor is not straightforward. In this paper, a nested error model for the log transformation of the target variable is considered. Nested error models are widely used for estimation of means in subpopulations with small sample sizes (small areas), by linking all the areas through common parameters. These common parameters are estimated using the overall set of sample data, which leads to much more efficient small area estimators. Analytical expressions for the best predictors of individual values of the original variable and of small area means are obtained under the nested error model with log transformation of the target variable. Empirical best predictors are defined by estimating the unknown model parameters in the best predictors. Exact mean squared errors of the best predictors and second order approximations to the mean squared errors of the empirical best predictors are derived. Mean squared error estimators that are second order correct are also obtained. An example with Spanish data on living conditions illustrates the procedures.

*Supported by the Spanish grants SEJ2007-64500, MTM2012-37077-C02-01, MTM-2012-33740 and ECO-2011-25706. Part of this work was done during a research stay of the second author in the Institute of Statistics of the University of Neuchâtel.

1 Introduction

In Econometric regression models, variables such as income or expenditure are often transformed with logarithm to achieve homoscedastic errors with approximately normal distribution. However, the variable of interest remains to be the untransformed one. Target characteristics of the study variable such as the values for out-of-sample individuals or the means for specific subpopulations become then functions of the exponentials of the dependent variable in the model. However, the predictors obtained by transforming back the individual predicted values are biased. Usual bias-corrections are only approximations and optimality properties are lost. However, the exact expression for the optimal predictors can be obtained analytically for certain models. A model that is often used for small area estimation is the nested-error linear regression model proposed by Battesse, Harter and Fuller (1988) to estimate the area under production of corn and soybeans in a number of counties. In small area estimation, the lack of sample observations in some of the areas of interest is solved by linking all areas through the common regression parameters but including at the same time random area effects that represent the unexplained between area variation. The common parameters are estimated using the sample observations from all the areas together and this leads to great efficiency gains with respect to estimators that use only the area-specific sample data (direct estimators). This kind of model is used in Econometric applications as well, see e.g. Elbers, Lanjouw and Lanjouw (2003) or Molina and Rao (2010), who employed this model to estimate poverty indicators in small areas. For more details on small area estimation methods, see the monograph by Rao (2003) and the recent review by Pfeffermann (2013).

Assessing the reliability, or uncertainty, of the obtained predictors is crucial in practical applications. A popular uncertainty measure is the mean squared error (MSE), also called mean squared prediction error. MSEs of optimal predictors of small area parameters have been obtained under certain models but only for simple parameters, see e.g. Das, Jiang and Rao (2004). The MSE of an individual prediction under a nested-error model with log-transformation has not been obtained yet. Moreover, when predicting the mean of the original variable in a given area, the optimal predictor is function of the predicted values for the out-of-sample individuals from that area. Since the individuals belong to the same area, due to the presence of the area effects, individual predictors are not independent. Then mean crossed product errors (MCPEs) between pairs of individual predictions are needed to derive the MSE of the predictor of the mean in that area.

Here we obtain optimal predictors for individual values of the target variable in out-of-sample units and also for small area means. Additionally, second-order asymptotic approximations for the MCPEs of pairs of individual predictions are derived, which lead to good approximations for the MSEs of predicted area means. In the small area estimation literature, this was done previously only under area-level models by Slud and Maiti (2006). Under a unit-level model, Molina (2009) dealt with estimation of exponentials of mixed effects, i.e. exponentials of linear functions of the fixed and the random effects in the model; the individual values

of the original variable cannot be expressed as special cases of these parameters. Thus, the target parameters and not the same and consequently results are also different. In particular, certain crossed-product terms appearing in the MCPE that are of lower order in Molina (2009), are not negligible when predicting individual observations. In fact, those crossed-product terms are typically neglected in small area estimation applications. Here we show that these terms cannot be neglected and give their analytical expression up to $o(D^{-1})$ terms, where D is the number of areas.

Analytical approximations for the uncertainty measures have a complex shape and users might prefer to use resampling procedures such as bootstrap methods. González-Manteiga et al. (2008) proposed a parametric bootstrap method designed for finite populations under a nested error model that is suitable in this paper. However, González-Manteiga et al. (2008) proved consistency of the bootstrap MSE estimator when the target parameters are linear. For our particular non-linear parameters, consistency remains to be proved. Nevertheless, once an analytical asymptotic expression is available for the true MSE, the technique of imitation used in that paper can be followed to achieve the consistency in this paper. Thus, the theoretical results for the MSE approximation that are obtained in this paper lead automatically to the consistency of the corresponding bootstrap MSE estimators.

The paper is organized as follows. The considered model and the target parameters are introduced in Section 2. The best predictor and first and second-stage empirical best predictors are given in Section 3. MCPEs and MSEs of first-stage empirical best predictors are obtained in Section 4, and for second-stage empirical best predictors, second-order approximations to the analogous uncertainty measures are given in Section 5. Second-order unbiased estimators of these uncertainty measures are provided in Section 6. Section 7 describes a parametric bootstrap procedure for estimation of the uncertainty. Section 8 illustrates the procedures through the estimation of mean income in Spanish provinces. Finally, the proofs of all the theorems are included in the Appendix.

2 Model and target parameters

When estimating characteristics of subpopulations that have varying sizes, it seems convenient to work under a finite population setup. Here we consider that the population U is finite and contains N units. This population is partitioned into D subpopulations $U_1, \ldots, U_D$, also called areas or domains, of sizes $N_1, \ldots, N_D$. The data is obtained from a sample s of size n drawn from the population U. We denote by s_d the subsample from domain d, of (fixed) size n_d, $d = 1, \ldots, D$, with $\sum_{d=1}^{D} n_d = n$, and $\bar{s}_d = U_d - s_d$ the complement of the sample in area d, of size $N_d - n_d$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$.

The goal is to predict the value w_{di} of the variable of interest for an out-of-sample individual i within area d, or the area mean $N_d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} w_{di}$, based on a regression model for w_{di}. If w_{di} represents a measurement of an economical variable such as income or expenditure, it is customary to consider the logarithm of w_{di} as depen-

dent variable in a regression model. Moreover, in many applications, the auxiliary variables do not explain sufficiently well all the between-area variation present in the data, and then random area effects representing this unexplained variation are included in the model. This is typically done in small area estimation applications. Here we assume the following linear regression model with random area effects, also known as nested-error model, for the log-transformed variables $y_{di} = \log w_{di}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_d + e_{di},\ u_d \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_u^2), \\ &e_{di} \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_e^2), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_d,\ d = 1, \ldots, D. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, $\mathbf{x}_{di}$ is a vector containing the values of p explanatory variables for i-th individual in d-th area, $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\!R^p$ is the vector of unknown regression coefficients, e_{di} is the individual error, u_d is the random effect of area d, with random effects $\{u_d\}$ and errors $\{e_{di}\}$ assumed to be independent, and finally σ_u^2 and σ_e^2 are the unknown random effects and individual error variances respectively, called variance components. We denote by $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\sigma_u^2, \sigma_e^2)'$ the vector of variance components and by $\Theta = \{(\sigma_u^2, \sigma_e^2); \sigma_u^2 \geq 0,\ \sigma_e^2 > 0\}$ the space where these parameters lie. Notation $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ will refer hereafter to generic elements from $I\!R^p$ and Θ, whereas $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ will be the respective true values of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ is supposed to be within the interior of Θ. For a quantity $A(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ depending on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and/or $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, we will use many times the notation A, omitting the explicit dependence on $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and/or $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in the notation.

If we intend to estimate the mean of an area with a poor sample size n_d, the estimators that use only the n_d area-specific observations, called direct estimators, are highly inefficient. Model (1) links all the areas through the common parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, σ_u^2 and σ_e^2, which allows us to "borrow strength" from all the areas when estimating a particular area mean. However, even though the model is assumed for $y_{di} = \log w_{di}$, the target parameter remains to be the area mean of the untransformed variables, which can be expressed in terms of the dependent variables in the model as

$$\tau_d = \frac{1}{N_d}\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} w_{di} = \frac{1}{N_d}\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \exp(y_{di}), \quad d = 1, \ldots, D.$$

3 Empirical best prediction

Let $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}'_s, \mathbf{y}'_r)'$ be a random vector containing the measurements of a variable in the units of the population, where $\mathbf{y}_s$ is the subvector corresponding to elements in the sample s and $\mathbf{y}_r$ the subvector with out-of-sample elements. For a general parameter defined as a measurable function $\tau = h(\mathbf{y})$, the "best predictor" $\tilde{\tau}$ is the function of the sample data $\mathbf{y}_s$ with minimum mean squared error $\text{MSE}(\tilde{\tau}) = E(\tilde{\tau} - \tau)^2$ and is given by

$$\tilde{\tau} = E_{\mathbf{y}_r}\{h(\mathbf{y})|\mathbf{y}_s\}, \tag{2}$$

where the expected value is taken with respect to the distribution of $\mathbf{y}_r|\mathbf{y}_s$. Although no restriction is considered when minimizing the MSE, the best predictor is exactly unbiased.

In the remainder of this section we spell out the expressions of the best predictors for single values $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di})$ of the target variable in out-of-sample units $i \in \bar{s}_d$ and of area means $\tau_d = N_d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \exp(y_{di})$, when the variables y_{di} in the population units follow model (1). The best predictors depend on the unknown values of the model parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. "Empirical best" predictors are obtained by replacing in the best predictors the unknown model parameters by consistent estimators.

Let us first express the model in matrix notation. For this, define

$$\mathbf{y}_d = (y_{d1}, \ldots, y_{dN_d})', \quad \mathbf{X}_d = (\mathbf{x}_{d1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{dN_d})', \quad \mathbf{e}_d = (e_{d1}, \ldots, e_{dN_d})'.$$

With this notation, the model is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{y}_d = \mathbf{X}_d\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_d\mathbf{1}_{N_d} + \mathbf{e}_d,\ u_d \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_u^2), \\ &\mathbf{e}_d \overset{ind}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{N_d}(\mathbf{0}_{N_d}, \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{N_d}), \quad d = 1, \ldots, D, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{0}_k$ denotes a vector of zeros of size k, similarly $\mathbf{1}_k$ is a vector of ones of size k and $\mathbf{I}_k$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. The covariance matrix of $\mathbf{y}_d$ is equal to $\mathbf{V}_d = \sigma_u^2\mathbf{1}_{N_d}\mathbf{1}'_{N_d} + \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{N_d} = \mathbf{V}_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Our target parameters $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di})$ and $\tau_d = N_d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \exp(y_{di})$ are both measurable functions of the area vector $\mathbf{y}_d$, that is, they are special cases of a general parameter of the form $h(\mathbf{y}_d)$. By (2), the best predictor of $h(\mathbf{y}_d)$ is given by the conditional expectation $E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\{h(\mathbf{y}_d)|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\}$. Consider the reorganization of the elements from domain d into sample and out-of-sample elements, as

$$\mathbf{y}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{ds} \\ \mathbf{y}_{dr} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{ds} \\ \mathbf{X}_{dr} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{V}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{ds} & \mathbf{V}_{dsr} \\ \mathbf{V}_{drs} & \mathbf{V}_{dr} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since by (3), $\mathbf{y}_d \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}_d\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{V}_d)$, the distribution of the out-of-sample vector from domain d given sample data is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{dr}|\mathbf{y}_{ds} \overset{ind}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{N_d-n_d}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}, \mathbf{V}_{dr|s}), \quad d = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{4}$$

where the mean vector and the covariance matrix read

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s} &= \mathbf{X}_{dr}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{V}_{drs}\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_{ds} - \mathbf{X}_{ds}\boldsymbol{\beta}), \\ \mathbf{V}_{dr|s} &= \mathbf{V}_{dr} - \mathbf{V}_{drs}\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{V}_{dsr}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that under the nested-error model (1), the conditional mean vector and covariance matrix reduce to

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s} = \mathbf{X}_{dr}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{1}_{N_d-n_d}\gamma_d(\bar{y}_{ds} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'_{ds}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{dr|s} = \sigma_u^2(1-\gamma_d)\mathbf{1}_{N_d-n_d}\mathbf{1}'_{N_d-n_d} + \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{N_d-n_d}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\bar{y}_{ds} = \frac{1}{n_d}\sum_{i\in s_d} y_{di}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds} = \frac{1}{n_d}\sum_{i\in s_d} \mathbf{x}_{di}, \quad \gamma_d = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2/n_d}.$$

Based on the conditional distribution (4) with mean vector given in (5) and covariance matrix (6), the next theorem provides spelled-out expressions for the best predictors of $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di})$ and $\tau_d = N_d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \exp(y_{di})$.

Theorem 1. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1), it holds:*

(i) The best predictor of $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di})$*, for* $i \in \bar{s}_d$*, is given by*

$$\tilde{w}_{di} = \tilde{w}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \exp(\tilde{y}_{di} + \alpha_d), \tag{7}$$

where

$$\tilde{y}_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma_d(\bar{y}_{ds} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'_{ds}\boldsymbol{\beta}),\ \alpha_d = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sigma_u^2(1-\gamma_d) + \sigma_e^2\right\}.$$

(ii) The best predictor of $\tau_d = N_d^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N_d}\exp(y_{di})$ *is given by*

$$\tilde{\tau}_d = \tilde{\tau}_d(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N_d}\left(\sum_{i\in s_d} w_{di} + \sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d}\tilde{w}_{di}\right). \tag{8}$$

Remark 1. *Observe the similarity between the best predictor* $\tilde{w}_{di}$ *given in (7) and the bias-corrected predictor proposed in Molina (2009), p.966. The only difference is that the term* α_d *in (7) is equal to the* α *in Molina (2009) plus an extra term* $\sigma_e^2/2$*. The reason for this difference is that the target parameters in Molina (2009) are of the type* $\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_d)$*, whereas here our target parameters are the individual observations* $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_d + e_{di})$*.*

Remark 2. *In contrast with the case of estimation of a small area mean under a nested error model without log-transformation, the best predictor of the small area mean* τ_d *given in (8) requires the values of the auxiliary variables* $\mathbf{x}_{di}$ *for each out-of-sample unit* $i \in \bar{s}_d$ *and not only of area totals or means of the auxiliary variables.*

As mentioned above, the best predictors $\tilde{w}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\tilde{\tau}_d(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ are exactly unbiased and are the "best" in the sense that achieve minimum mean squared error. However, as the notation indicates, they depend on the true values of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, which are unknown in practice. In the following we define first and second-stage empirical best (EB) predictors obtained by estimating these unknown parameters in two stages. For this, we first define the following vectors and matrices containing the sample elements from all the areas

$$\mathbf{y}_s = (\mathbf{y}'_{1s}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}'_{Ds})', \quad \mathbf{X}_s = (\mathbf{X}'_{1s}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}'_{Ds})', \quad \mathbf{e}_s = (\mathbf{e}'_{1s}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}'_{Ds})',$$
$$\mathbf{Z}_s = \mathrm{diag}_{1\le d\le D}(\mathbf{1}_{n_d}), \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_D)'.$$

Then, the model for the sample units can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_s = \mathbf{X}_s\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{e}_s, \quad \mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}_D(\mathbf{0}_D, \sigma_u^2\mathbf{I}_D), \quad \mathbf{e}_s \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_n),$$

and the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{y}_s$ is given by

$$\mathbf{V}_s = \mathrm{diag}_{1\le d\le D}(\mathbf{V}_{ds}), \quad \mathbf{V}_{ds} = \sigma_u^2\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}'_{n_d} + \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{n_d}.$$

The first-stage EB predictor is obtained under the assumption that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is known but $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is unknown. The maximum likelihood (ML) estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ under normality,

which is also the weighted least squares (WLS) estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ without normality reads

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{y}_s. \tag{9}$$

The first-stage EB predictors of w_{di} and τ_d are then

$$\hat{w}_{di} = \hat{w}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \tilde{w}_{di}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \hat{\tau}_d = \hat{\tau}_d(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \tilde{\tau}_d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{10}$$

Finally, the second-stage EB predictors of w_{di} and τ_d are obtained by replacing the unknown $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (10) by a consistent estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, that is,

$$\hat{w}_{di}^E = \hat{w}_{di}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \tilde{w}_{di}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \quad \hat{\tau}_d^E = \hat{\tau}_d(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \tilde{\tau}_d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \tag{11}$$

4 Uncertainty of first-stage EB predictors

As already mentioned, it is convenient to assess the reliability of a point predictor by accompanying it with a suitable uncertainty measure, typically the MSE. But when estimating a small area mean τ_d, in virtue of the expression for the best predictor $\tilde{\tau}_d$ given in (8), it is clear that the MSE of the best predictor $\tilde{\tau}_d$ can be directly obtained as a function of the MCPEs of pairs of best predictors $\tilde{w}_{di}$ and $\tilde{w}_{dj}$ for out-of-sample units $i, j \in \bar{s}_d$. The same occurs for the two types of EB predictors introduced in (10) and (11). For this reason, in the following we focus on giving the expressions for the MCPEs of pairs of individual predictors.

Theorem 2 spells out the MCPE of the best predictors $\tilde{w}_{di}$ and $\tilde{w}_{dj}$ for out-of-sample units $i, j \in \bar{s}_d$, defined by $\text{MCPE}(\tilde{w}_{di}, \tilde{w}_{dj}) = E\{(\tilde{w}_{di} - w_{di})(\tilde{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\}$. The mean squared error (MSE) of the best predictor of a single out-of-sample observation $\text{MSE}(\tilde{w}_{di}) = E(\tilde{w}_{di} - w_{di})^2$, $i \in \bar{s}_d$ is then obtained taking $i = j$. For the area mean τ_d, the MSE of the best predictor $\text{MSE}(\tilde{\tau}_d) = E(\tilde{\tau}_d - \tau_d)^2$ is given in Corollary 1. In these results, $1_{\{i=j\}}$ is equal to 1 if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise, and

$$S_1 = \sum_{i \in \bar{s}_d} \sum_{j \in \bar{s}_d, j > i} \exp\left\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}, \quad S_2 = \sum_{i \in \bar{s}_d} \exp\left\{2\mathbf{x}_{di}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}.$$

Theorem 2. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1), the mean crossed product error of the best predictors $\tilde{w}_{di}$ and $\tilde{w}_{dj}$ of w_{di} and w_{dj}, for $i, j \in \bar{s}_d$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} MCPE(\tilde{w}_{di}, \tilde{w}_{dj}) &= \exp\left\{2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2 + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\} \\ &\times \left[\exp\left\{-\sigma_u^2(1 - \gamma_d)\right\} + \left\{\exp(\sigma_e^2) - 1\right\} 1_{\{i=j\}} - 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *The mean squared error of the best predictor $\tilde{\tau}_d$ of τ_d is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\tilde{\tau}_d) &= N_d^{-2} \exp\left(2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2\right) \left(2\left[\exp\left\{-\sigma_u^2(1 - \gamma_d)\right\} - 1\right] S_1 \right. \\ &+ \left. \left[\exp\left\{-\sigma_u^2(1 - \gamma_d)\right\} + \exp(\sigma_e^2) - 2\right] S_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

For a pair of first-stage EB predictors obtained by estimating $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ using the WLS estimator given in (9) but assuming that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is known, Theorem 3 gives the MCPE. The MSE of a single first-stage EB predictor is obtained setting $j = i$. The following notation is required:

$$\mathbf{Q}_s = (\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s)^{-1}, \quad h_{d,ij} = \mathbf{x}_{di}'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{dj}, \quad h_{d,i} = \mathbf{x}_{di}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}, \quad h_d = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}.$$

Theorem 3. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1), the mean crossed product error of the first-stage EB predictors $\hat{w}_{di}$ and $\hat{w}_{dj}$, for $i, j \in \bar{s}_d$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} MCPE(\hat{w}_{di}, \hat{w}_{dj}) &= \exp\left\{2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2(1 + 1_{\{i=j\}}) + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\} \\ &\times \left[1 + \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(h_{d,ii} + h_{d,jj}) + h_{d,ij} - 2\gamma_d^2 h_d - \sigma_u^2(1 - \gamma_d)\right\}\right. \\ &- \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(h_{d,jj} - \gamma_d^2 h_d) + h_{d,ij} - \gamma_d^2 h_{d,i}\right\} \\ &\left. - \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(h_{d,ii} - \gamma_d^2 h_d) + h_{d,ij} - \gamma_d^2 h_{d,j}\right\}\right] =: M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

5 Uncertainty of second-stage EB predictors

In practice the vector of variance components $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\sigma_u^2, \sigma_e^2)'$ is also unknown. Estimation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to obtain second-stage EB predictors entails an increase in uncertainty and this increase should be accounted for in the MCPE. The additional uncertainty depends on the particular estimation method used for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. A typical estimation method is maximum likelihood (ML), which provides consistent and asymptotically efficient estimators of the variance components (Miller, 1973). This section gives an approximation up to $o(D^{-1})$ terms for the MCPE of pairs of individual second-stage EB predictors when model parameters are estimated by maximum likelihood.

The ML estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\sigma}_u^2, \hat{\sigma}_e^2)'$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\sigma_u^2, \sigma_e^2)'$ maximizes the penalized log-likelihood, given by

$$l_P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\log|\mathbf{V}_s| + \mathbf{y}_s'\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{y}_s\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_s = \mathbf{V}_s^{-1} - \mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

The score vector is defined as $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \partial l_P(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta} = (s_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), s_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}))'$. In terms of the vector $\mathbf{v}_s = \mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{X}_s\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{e}_s$, the elements of the score vector are given by

$$s_h(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}_s'\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{v}_s, \quad h = 1, 2. \tag{14}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h = \frac{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}_h} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{Z}_s', & h = 1; \\ \mathbf{I}_n, & h = 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the Hessian matrix is defined as $H(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \partial^2 l_P(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2 = (H_{h\ell}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$, where

$$H_{h\ell}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\ell) - \mathbf{v}_s'\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\ell\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{v}_s, \quad h, \ell = 1, 2.$$

Finally, the Fisher information matrix is $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E\{-H(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} = (\mathcal{F}_{h\ell}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_{h\ell}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\ell) + \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\ell), \quad h,\ell = 1,2.$$

For the second-stage EB predictors $\hat{w}_{di}^E = \hat{w}_{di}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ and $\hat{w}_{dj}^E = \hat{w}_{dj}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ of w_{di} and w_{dj}, the MCPE can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E) &= \mathrm{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di}, \hat{w}_{dj}) + E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}^E - \hat{w}_{dj})\right\} \\ &+E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right\} + E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di} - w_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}^E - \hat{w}_{dj})\right\}. \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (15) is already given in Theorem 3 above. The remaining terms will be approximated up to $o(D^{-1})$ terms under the following assumptions, where $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ denotes the minimum eigenvalue of A:

(H1) $p < \infty$, $\limsup_{D\to\infty} \max_{1\le d\le D} n_d < \infty$ and $\liminf_{D\to\infty} \min_{1\le d\le D} n_d > 0$;

(H2) The elements of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$ are uniformly bounded as $D \to \infty$;

(H3) $\liminf_{D\to\infty} D^{-1}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s) > 0$;

(H4) $\liminf_{D\to\infty} D^{-1}\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.

The next theorem gives an approximation for the second term on the right-hand side of (15). This result uses the additional notation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{m}_d = (\mathbf{0}_{d-1}', 1, \mathbf{0}_{D-d}')', \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}_d = \sigma_u^2\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d, \quad \mathbf{x}_{dij} = \mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj} \\ E_{dij} = \exp\left\{2\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}_{dij}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_{dij}'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{dij} + 2\gamma_d\left(\sigma_u^2 - \gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}\right)\right\}. \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), it holds*

$$E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}^E - \hat{w}_{dj})\right\} = M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{dij} \\ &\times\left\{tr\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + 2\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_d\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + 2\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_d\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5 gives an explicit expression for the first of the crossed product terms in (15); the last term is analogous. For this theorem, we need to introduce additional notation. We define

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{dij} \\ &\times\mathrm{tr}\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}_d + \frac{\mathbf{A}_d + \mathbf{B}_d}{2} - \mathbf{G}_d\right)\right] + \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + 2\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_d\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\nu} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_d + \boldsymbol{\varsigma}}{2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d = \underset{1\le h\le 2}{\text{col}}(4\boldsymbol{\eta}_d\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\boldsymbol{\eta}_d)$, $\mathbf{E}_d = 2(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1\boldsymbol{\eta}_d, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d)$, $\mathbf{A}_d = (\alpha_{d,ht})$, with $\alpha_{d,ht} = \partial^2\alpha_d/\partial\theta_h\partial\theta_t$, $\mathbf{B}_d = (b_{d,ht})$ with $b_{d,ht} = 2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'\mathbf{V}_s(\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d/\partial\theta_h\partial\theta_t)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_d = \underset{1\le k\le 2}{\text{col}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + 2\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_d\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi_k\right\},$$

for $\Phi_k = (\phi_{hk\ell})_{h,\ell}$ with $\phi_{hk\ell} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\ell)$. Finally, $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)'$ with $\varsigma_h = \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}P_h)$, $P_h = (p_{htk})$ and $p_{htk} = -a_{htk} + \text{tr}(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s)$, where

$$a_{htk} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_t\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k), \tag{17}$$

$$A_{htk} = \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_t\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s + \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_t\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s + \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_t\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s. \tag{18}$$

Now analogously to $M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})$, we also define

$$M_{2d,ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{dij}^* \times\left\{\text{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)\right\}.$$

where E_{dij}^* is in this case given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{dij}^* &= \exp\left\{\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}_{dij}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_u^2(3+\gamma_d) + 2(\mathbf{x}_{di} - \gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds})'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{dj}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{x}_{di}'\mathbf{Q}_d\mathbf{x}_{di} - \gamma_d^2\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^* = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \mathbf{a}_{dj}$, for

$$\mathbf{a}_{dj} = (\mathbf{0}_{n_1}', \ldots, \mathbf{0}_{n_{d-1}}', \mathbf{m}_{dj}', \mathbf{0}_{n_{d+1}}', \ldots, \mathbf{0}_{n_D}')', \quad \mathbf{m}_{dj} = (\mathbf{0}_{j-1}', 1, \mathbf{0}_{n_d-j}')'.$$

Again, analogously to $T_{d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})$, we define

$$T_{d,ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{dij}^* \times\text{tr}\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}_{dj}^* + \frac{\mathbf{A}_d + \mathbf{B}_{dj}^*}{2} - \mathbf{G}_{dj}^*\right)\right] + \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\nu} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{dj}^* + \boldsymbol{\varsigma}}{2}\right),$$

for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{dj}^* = \underset{1\le h\le 2}{\text{col}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*)$, $\mathbf{E}_{dj}^* = (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*)$, $\mathbf{B}_{dj}^* = (b_{dj,ht}^*)$ with $b_{dj,ht}^* = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s(\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d/\partial\theta_h\partial\theta_t)$ and finally,

$$\mathbf{G}_{dj}^* = \underset{1\le k\le 2}{\text{col}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi_k\right\}.$$

Theorem 5. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), it holds*

$$E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right\} = M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}),$$

where

$$M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) + T_{d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}M_{2d,ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) - T_{d,ij}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{20}$$

Finally, Theorem 6 gives a second-order approximation to the MCPE of $\hat{w}_{di}^E$ and $\hat{w}_{dj}^E$, as a direct consequence of decomposition (15) and Theorems 3–5.

Theorem 6. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), it holds*

$$MCPE(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E) = M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{3d,ji}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}).$$

Corollary 2. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), the MSE of the second-stage EB predictor $\hat{\tau}_d^E$ of the area mean τ_d is given by*

$$MSE(\hat{\tau}_d^E) = \frac{1}{N_d^2}\left\{2\sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d}\sum_{j\in\bar{s}_d, j>i} MCPE(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E) + \sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d} MSE(\hat{w}_{di}^E)\right\}.$$

where $MSE(\hat{w}_{di}^E)$ is obtained by setting $i = j$ in Theorem 6.

6 Estimation of the uncertainty

The following theorem states that replacing the unknown parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ by their corresponding ML estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ in $M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ leads to a $O(D^{-1})$ bias. It also gives a second order approximation for that bias, which can then be corrected. The proof follows closely that of Theorem 4 in Molina (2009). In this theorem, $\Gamma_h = (a_{htk})_{t,k=1,2}$ for a_{htk} defined in (17) and $\Phi = (\phi_{tk})_{t,k=1,2}$, where $\phi_{tk} = \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_t\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k)$.

Theorem 7. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), it holds*

$$E\{M_{1d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} = M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \sum_{k=1}^{5}\Lambda_{d,ij,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{d,ij,1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= 2\left(\partial M_{1d,ij}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu},\\
\Lambda_{d,ij,2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= -\left(\partial M_{1d,ij}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}col_{1\le h\le 2}\left(tr(\Phi_h\mathcal{F}^{-1})\right),\\
\Lambda_{d,ij,3}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= (1/4)\left(\partial M_{1d,ij}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}col_{1\le h\le 2}\left(tr\left[(3\Phi_h - \Gamma_h)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right]\right),\\
\Lambda_{d,ij,4}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= (1/4)tr\left[\left(\partial^2 M_{1d,ij}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2\right)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Phi\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right],\\
\Lambda_{d,ij,5}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})\,\mathbf{x}'_{dij}(\mathbf{X}'_s\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{dij}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that plugging the ML estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ for the true values $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the above bias correction terms leads to negligible bias in the sense

$$E\{\Lambda_{d,ij,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} = \Lambda_{d,ij,k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, 5. \tag{21}$$

According to Theorem 7 and (21), an unbiased estimator of MCPE($\tilde{w}_{di}, \tilde{w}_{dj}$) up to $o(D^{-1})$ terms is given by

$$\text{mcpe}(\tilde{w}_{di}, \tilde{w}_{dj}) = M_{1d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \sum_{k=1}^{5} \Lambda_{d,ij,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \tag{22}$$

Moreover, by Molina (2009), it holds that

$$E\{M_{2d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} = M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}). \tag{23}$$

So far we have obtained unbiased estimators up to $o(D^{-1})$ terms of the first two terms on the right-hand side of (15). Thus, in order to have an unbiased estimator of (15) of the same order, it only remains to estimate unbiasedly $M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$. The next theorem states that plugging the ML estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ in $M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ yields an unbiased estimator of the desired order.

Theorem 8. *Under the nested-error model with log-transformation (1) and assumptions (H1)-(H4), it holds*

$$E\{M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} = M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(D^{-1}).$$

The analogous result holds for $M_{3d,ji}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di} - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}^E - w_{dj})\right\} + o(D^{-1})$. Finally, from (22), (21) and Theorem 8, the estimator

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mcpe}(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E) &= M_{1d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \sum_{k=1}^{5} \Lambda_{d,ij,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + M_{2d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &+ M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + M_{3d,ji}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$E\{\text{mcpe}(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E)\} = \text{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di}^E, \hat{w}_{dj}^E) + o(D^{-1}).$$

7 Bootstrap estimation of the uncertainty

Resampling methods are very popular among practitioners due to their conceptual simplicity, which also makes them less prone to coding errors. Under the setup of this paper, the naive bootstrap procedure for finite populations proposed by González-Manteiga et al. (2008) can be applied for the estimation of the MSE of either an individual predictor $\hat{w}_{di}^E$ or for the predicted area mean $\hat{\tau}_d^E$. It can also be applied to estimate the MCPE of two individual predictors $\hat{w}_{di}^E$ and $\hat{w}_{dj}^E$, with $j \neq i$. Here we describe only the steps of the bootstrap procedure for estimation of the MSE of $\hat{\tau}_d^E$, because for the other cases is analogous.

1) With the available data $(\mathbf{y}_s, \mathbf{X}_s)$ coming from the sample s, calculate the ML estimators of the model parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\sigma}_u^2, \hat{\sigma}_e^2)'$.

2) Generate bootstrap random effects $u_d^* \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\sigma}_u^2)$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$.

3) Generate bootstrap errors $e_{di}^* \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\sigma}_e^2)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_d$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$.

4) Generate a bootstrap population of response variables from the fitted model

$$y_{di}^* = \mathbf{x}_{di}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + u_d^* + e_{di}^*, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_d, \ d = 1, \ldots, D. \tag{24}$$

Let $\tau_d^* = N_d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \exp(y_{di}^*)$ be the true mean of area d in this bootstrap population.

5) Take the bootstrap elements y_{di}^* with subscripts in the original sample s, i.e., with $i \in s_d$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$. Using the bootstrap sample data $\mathbf{y}_s^* = \{y_{di}^*; i \in s_d, d = 1, \ldots, D\}$ and $\mathbf{X}_d$, fit the bootstrap model (24), obtaining new model parameter estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^* = (\hat{\sigma}_u^{2*}, \hat{\sigma}_e^{2*})'$. Calculate the bootstrap second-stage EB predictor $\hat{\tau}_d^{E*} = \tilde{\tau}_d^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^*)$, where

$$\tilde{\tau}_d^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^*) = \frac{1}{N_d}\left(\sum_{i \in s_d} \exp(y_{di}^*) + \sum_{i \in \bar{s}_d} \exp(\tilde{y}_{di}^* + \hat{\alpha}_d^*)\right),$$

for

$$\tilde{y}_{di}^* = \mathbf{x}_{di}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* + \hat{\gamma}_d^*(\bar{y}_{ds}^* - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*), \quad \bar{y}_{ds}^* = \tfrac{1}{n_d}\textstyle\sum_{i \in s_d} y_{di}^*,$$
$$\hat{\gamma}_d^* = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_u^{2*}}{\hat{\sigma}_u^{2*} + \hat{\sigma}_e^{2*}/n_d}, \quad \hat{\alpha}_d^* = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{\sigma}_u^{2*}(1 - \hat{\gamma}_d^*)\right].$$

6) The bootstrap MSE of $\hat{\tau}_d^{E*}$ is

$$\mathrm{MSE}_*(\hat{\tau}_d^{E*}) = E_*(\hat{\tau}_d^{E*} - \tau_d^*)^2, \tag{25}$$

where E_* indicates expectation with respect to the probability distribution induced by model (24) given the original sample data $\{y_{di}; i \in s_d, d = 1, \ldots, D\}$.

The expected value in (25) can be approximated by Monte Carlo, by repeating Steps 2)–5) above a large number of times B, and then averaging over the B replicates. Let $\tau_d^{*(b)}$ be the true parameter in b-th replicate and $\hat{\tau}_d^{E*(b)}$ be the corresponding second-stage EB predictor. The Monte Carlo approximation of (25), used here as an estimator of $\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{\tau}_d^E)$, is given by

$$\mathrm{mse}_*(\hat{\tau}_d^E) = \frac{1}{B}\sum_{b=1}^{B}(\hat{\tau}_d^{E*(b)} - \tau_d^{*(b)})^2. \tag{26}$$

González-Manteiga et al. (2008) proved the consistency of the bootstrap MSE of the second-stage EB predictor of a linear parameter by the technique of imitation. With the available analytical formula for the MCPE given in Theorem 6, here the result is

analogous. First, by imitating the proofs of Theorems 3–5 under the bootstrap population given the original sample data, the bootstrap MCPE can be approximated as

$$\mathrm{MCPE}_*(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*}) = \mathrm{MCPE}_{N*}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*}) + o(D^{-1}), \tag{27}$$

where

$$\mathrm{MCPE}_{N*}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*}) = M_{1d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + M_{2d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + M_{3,j,i}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

Since ML estimates are (first order) consistent and $\mathrm{MCPE}_{N*}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*})$ is a continuous function of $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, then $\mathrm{MCPE}_{N*}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*})$ is also (first order) consistent for $\mathrm{MCPE}_N(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E}) = M_{1d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{2d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + M_{3,j,i}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$. However, due to the presence of the $O(D^{-1})$ bias terms listed in Theorem 7, $\mathrm{MCPE}_N(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E})$ is only first order and not second order unbiased for the true $\mathrm{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E})$, that is,

$$E\{\mathrm{MCPE}_{N*}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E*}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E*})\} = \mathrm{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}, \hat{w}_{dj}^{E}) + O(D^{-1}).$$

Corrections of the naive bootstrap estimator (26) have been proposed in the literature to achieve a $o(D^{-1})$ bias in the case of linear parameters, see e.g. Butar and Lahiri (2003) and Pfeffermann and Tiller (2005) for bootstrap bias corrections, and Hall and Maiti (2006) for a double bootstrap procedure. These corrections can be directly extended to estimate our specific non-linear parameters w_{di} or τ_d. Nevertheless, in our case these bias corrections are tedious to implement and also they might yield negative MSE estimates. The naive bootstrap MSE estimator (26) has proved to work well in practical applications.

8 Example

We consider the 2006 Spanish Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC). This survey is harmonized across the European Union countries and is used to regularly monitor the living conditions of the EU citizens. The survey collects the equivalized income for each surveyed individual among many other sociological variables such as gender, age, education level or labor status. It contains also an indicator of the province where the individual has its first residence.

We consider the problem of estimation of mean income in the Spanish provinces. Direct estimators, which use only the subsample from the corresponding province, are efficient enough in this case because the province sample sizes of this survey are not so small. Hence, in principle this problem does not require application of small area estimation techniques. Take into account that direct estimators do not make any model assumption and are design-unbiased, so one would like to use them whenever they are efficient enough. The problem arises when one desires to have more geographical detail and estimate e.g. in Spanish "comarcas" (nested in provinces). Sample sizes in many of those "comarcas" are fairly small for direct estimation of mean income and in that case small area estimation procedures are required. Moreover, for important social reasons one might wish to give estimates also by gender, which reduces the sample sizes in the target domains.

In order to illustrate the problem of estimation in domains with small sample sizes, here we will treat the SILC data set as if it were the true population or census. Then, a 1/10 sample will be drawn from each province d, which provides domains with certainly small sample sizes, imitating the case where small area estimation makes sense, as when estimating e.g. in "comarcas" by gender. Moreover, since the full census of income is available, we will also be able to compare both direct and second-stage EB estimates with the "true" mean incomes under a somewhat realistic population and sample. The same procedure will be followed for the two genders independently so as to give estimates of mean income in Spanish provinces by gender under a "small area" scenario.

The number of provinces in Spain is $D = 51$. The overall SILC sample size, which acts here as the population size, is $N = 16,584$ for males and $N = 17,649$ for females. As already mentioned, a 1/10 simple random sample has been drawn from each domain, rounding the domain sample sizes to the closest integer. The resulting overall sample size turns out to be $n = 1,660$ for males and $n = 1,767$ for females. A summary of the obtained domain sample sizes n_d for each gender is provided in the third column of Table 1. This table shows that more than half of the provinces have sample sizes below 30 for the two genders.

The unbiased direct estimator of the domain mean income under simple random sampling within domain d is the corresponding sample mean. The sampling variance is given by the standard formula including the finite-population correction, that is,

$$\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR} = \bar{w}_d = \frac{1}{n_d}\sum_{i\in s_d} w_{di}, \quad \text{var}(\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}) = \left(1 - \frac{n_d}{N_d}\right)\frac{S_{wd}^2}{n_d},$$

where $S_{wd}^2 = (n_d - 1)^{-1}\sum_{i\in s_d}(w_{di} - \bar{w}_d)^2$. Direct estimators $\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}$ were computed for each province and gender, together with their corresponding coefficients of variation (CVs), given by $\text{cv}(\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}) = 100 \times \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR})}/\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}$.

For each gender, second-stage EB estimators $\hat{\tau}_d^E$ of mean incomes τ_d for all provinces $d = 1, \ldots, D$, were obtained by fitting model (1) for $y_{di} = \log(w_{di} + k)$ where w_{di} is the equivalized income of individual i in domain d and k is a constant suitably chosen to achieve approximate normality of the transformed incomes. This constant was chosen as $k = 1500$ for the two genders. Then the estimators of the domain mean income are simply obtained by subtracting k. The explanatory variables included in the model were the dummy indicators of five age groupings, of having Spanish nationality, of three education levels and of labor force status (employed, unemployed, inactive), omitting one of the groupings of each variable that is kept as base reference. The MSE of the second-stage EB predictor $\hat{\tau}_d^E$ was estimated using the parametric bootstrap procedure described in Section 7 with $B = 500$ bootstrap replicates. The CV was then obtained as $\text{cv}(\hat{\tau}_d^E) = 100 \times \sqrt{\text{mse}_*(\hat{\tau}_d^E)}/\hat{\tau}_d^E$.

Table 1 lists the results for the provinces with minimum and maximum sample sizes, and with sample sizes closest to the first quartile, median and third quartile, for each gender. This table includes the "true" mean incomes, direct and second-stage EB estimates of mean income, together with their corresponding CVs. See the great reduction in CV achieved by the second-stage EB estimators as compared

Men							
Province	N_d	n_d	τ_d	$\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}$	$\hat{\tau}_d^{EB}$	cv($\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR}$)	cv($\hat{\tau}_d^{E}$)
Soria	22	2	11666.26	12585.00	11999.15	20.80	16.67
Lérida	127	13	11149.82	12299.17	11976.57	14.41	10.65
Córdoba	215	22	9747.56	9110.44	10415.43	10.41	10.46
La Coruña	457	46	11368.16	10725.76	11614.88	7.01	7.32
Barcelona	1353	135	15641.29	16011.03	15808.58	4.97	3.38
Women							
Soria	15	2	9313.59	8051.67	11078.86	31.69	20.34
Gerona	138	14	13342.85	14480.67	13707.94	13.63	8.52
CiudadReal	237	24	10191.94	9291.22	10022.06	11.56	9.75
Sevilla	490	49	11329.07	11534.12	12084.91	8.28	6.52
Barcelona	1477	148	14697.36	14602.25	14770.83	3.87	3.33

Table 1: Results for provinces with minimum sample size, samples sizes closest to first quartile, median and third quartile, and maximum sample size, by gender.

with direct estimators. The reduction is mild for provinces with larger sample sizes but striking for the provinces with smaller sample sizes. In fact, the CV is reduced for all but few provinces in the two genders. We can also see that second-stage EB estimates tend to be closer to true values than direct estimates. This is confirmed by Figure 1, which shows the relative errors of direct and second-stage EB estimates, given by $(\hat{\tau}_d^{DIR} - \tau_d)/\tau_d$ and $(\hat{\tau}_d^{E} - \tau_d)/\tau_d$ respectively, against the province sample sizes n_d, for Men (left) and Women (right). These two plots show how the relative errors of the two estimators are similar for domains with large sample sizes, but as long as sample sizes decrease, the second-stage EB estimates tend to have smaller relative errors in absolute value, with relative errors below 25% for all provinces.

APPENDIX: PROOFS

In this appendix, the euclidean norm of a vector $\mathbf{a}$ is denoted by $|\mathbf{a}| = (\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{a})^{1/2}$. For a matrix A, we consider the norms $\|A\| = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(A'A)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \mathrm{tr}^{1/2}(A'A)$, where $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ denotes the maximum eigenvalue of A. Asymptotic orders refer to $D \to \infty$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1

(i) The best predictor of $w_{di} = \exp(y_{di})$ is equal to $\tilde{w}_{di} = E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\{\exp(y_{di})|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\}$. Here we calculate the more general expectation

$$E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\left\{\exp(\mathbf{y}'_{dr}\mathbf{b}_d)|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\right\},$$



Figure 1: Relative error of direct and second-stage EB estimates against province sample size, for Men (left) and Women (right).

where $\mathbf{b}_d$ is a non-stochastic vector of size $N_d - n_d$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$. Now using the conditional distribution given in (4), this expectation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{y}_{dr}'\mathbf{b}_d\right)|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\right] &= \int \exp\left(\mathbf{y}_{dr}'\mathbf{b}_d\right)(2\pi)^{-\frac{N_d-n_d}{2}}\left|\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\right|^{-1/2} \\
&\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_{dr}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s})'\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_{dr}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s})\right\} d\mathbf{y}_{dr} \\
&= \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}'\mathbf{b}_d + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{b}_d'\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\mathbf{b}_d\right)\int (2\pi)^{-\frac{N_d-n_d}{2}}\left|\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\right|^{-1/2} \\
&\times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathbf{y}_{dr}-\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}+\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\mathbf{b}_d\right)\right\}'\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}^{-1}\left\{\mathbf{y}_{dr}-\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}+\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\mathbf{b}_d\right)\right\}\right] d\mathbf{y}_{dr} \\
&= \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}'\mathbf{b}_d + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{b}_d'\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}\mathbf{b}_d\right), \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

because the integral involved is equal to 1. Now (i) follows from the expressions for $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{dr|s}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{dr|s}$ given in (5) and (6), and taking $\mathbf{b}_d$ as a vector with 1 in position i and the rest of elements equal to zero.
(ii) The best predictor of τ_d is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_d &= \tilde{\tau}_d(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\left(\tau_d|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N_d}\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\left\{\exp(y_{di})|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{N_d}\left[\sum_{i\in s_d}\exp(y_{di}) + \sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d} E_{\mathbf{y}_{dr}}\left\{\exp(y_{di})|\mathbf{y}_{ds}\right\}\right]. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result then follows by straightforward application of (i). □

PROOF OF THEOREM2

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MCPE}(\tilde{w}_{di}, \tilde{w}_{dj}) &= E\left\{(\tilde{w}_{di} - w_{di})(\tilde{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right\} \\ &= E(\tilde{w}_{di}\tilde{w}_{dj}) - E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) - E(w_{di}\tilde{w}_{dj}) + E(w_{di}w_{dj}). \quad (30)\end{aligned}$$

Since u_d and e_{di} are independent for all i, the last term on the right hand side of (30) for $i \neq j$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}E(w_{di}w_{dj}) &= E\left\{\exp(y_{di})\exp(y_{dj})\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\} E\left\{\exp(2u_d)\right\} E\left\{\exp(e_{di})\right\} E\left\{\exp(e_{dj})\right\}. \quad (31)\end{aligned}$$

In contrast, for $i = j$ we have

$$E(w_{di}^2) = \exp\left\{2\mathbf{x}_{di}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\} E\left\{\exp(2u_d)\right\} E\left\{\exp(2e_{di})\right\}. \quad (32)$$

Observe that the expectations appearing on the right hand side of (31) and (32) are respectively the moment generating function (m.g.f.) of the independent random variables $2u_d$, e_{di}, e_{dj} and $2e_{di}$, evaluated at $t = 1$. Since the m.g.f. of a random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is given by $M_X(t) = \exp(\mu\, t + \sigma^2 t^2/2)$, using this expression we get

$$E(w_{di}w_{dj}) = \exp\left\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + 2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2(1 + 1_{\{i=j\}})\right\}. \quad (33)$$

Now we obtain $E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) = E\left\{\exp(\tilde{y}_{di} + \alpha_d + y_{dj})\right\}$. But by model (1), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}y_{dj} &= \mathbf{x}_{dj}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_d + e_{dj}, \\ \tilde{y}_{di} &= \mathbf{x}_{di}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma_d(\bar{y}_{ds} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{x}_{di}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma_d(u_d + \bar{e}_{ds}),\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{y}_{di} + y_{dj} = (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + (1 + \gamma_d)u_d + e_{dj} + \gamma_d\bar{e}_{ds},$$

and since u_d and e_{dj} are independent, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) &= E\left\{\exp(\tilde{y}_{di} + \alpha_d + y_{dj})\right\} \\ &= \exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\}\exp\{\alpha_d\} E\left[\exp\left\{(1 + \gamma_d)u_d\right\}\right] E\left[\exp\left\{e_{dj} + \gamma_d\bar{e}_{ds}\right\}\right]. \quad (34)\end{aligned}$$

Now the two expectations on the right-hand side of (34) are respectively the m.g.f.'s evaluated at $t = 1$ of the random variables

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + \gamma_d)u_d &\sim \mathcal{N}\left\{0, (1 + 2\gamma_d + \gamma_d^2)\sigma_u^2\right\}, \\ e_{dj} + \gamma_d\bar{e}_{ds} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left\{0, (1 + 2\gamma_d/n_d + \gamma_d^2/n_d)\sigma_e^2\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\exp\left\{(1 + \gamma_d)u_d\right\}\right] &= \exp\left\{(1 + 2\gamma_d + \gamma_d^2)\sigma_u^2/2\right\}, \\ E\left[\exp\left\{e_{dj} + \gamma_d\bar{e}_{ds}\right\}\right] &= \exp\left\{(1 + 2\gamma_d/n_d + \gamma_d^2/n_d)\sigma_e^2/2\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing these expectations in (34), using the expression of $\alpha_d = \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_u^2(1-\gamma_d)+\sigma_e^2\}$ and the fact that $\gamma_d(\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2/n_d) = \sigma_u^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) &= \exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\{2\sigma_u^2 + 2\sigma_e^2 - \sigma_u^2\gamma_d + 2\gamma_d\left(\sigma_u^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n_d}\right) + \gamma_d^2\left(\sigma_u^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n_d}\right)\right\}\right] \\ &= \exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + 2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Observe that $E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) = E(w_{di}w_{dj})$ when $i \neq j$ but not otherwise. By symmetry, it holds also $E(\tilde{w}_{di}w_{dj}) = E(w_{di}\tilde{w}_{dj})$. Finally, we calculate $E(\tilde{w}_{di}\tilde{w}_{dj}) = E\left\{\exp(\tilde{y}_{di}+\tilde{y}_{dj}+2\alpha_d)\right\}$. Again, by model (1), we know that

$$\tilde{y}_{di}+\tilde{y}_{dj} = (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + 2\gamma_d(\bar{y}_{ds} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + 2\gamma_d(u_d + \bar{e}_{ds}).$$

Now since

$$2\gamma_d(u_d+\bar{e}_{ds}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left\{0, 4\gamma_d^2\left(\sigma_u^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n_d}\right)\right\} \equiv \mathcal{N}(0, 4\gamma_d\,\sigma_u^2),$$

then using again the m.g.f. of $\gamma_d(u_d+\bar{e}_{ds})$ evaluated at $t=1$, we get

$$E\left[\exp\{2\gamma_d(u_d+\bar{e}_{ds})\}\right] = \exp(2\gamma_d\,\sigma_u^2).$$

Finally, using the expression of $\alpha_d = \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_u^2(1-\gamma_d)+\sigma_e^2\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{w}_{di}\tilde{w}_{dj}) &= E\left[\exp\{\tilde{y}_{di}+\tilde{y}_{dj}+2\alpha_d\}\right] \\ &= \exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\}\exp(\sigma_u^2 - \sigma_u^2\gamma_d + \sigma_e^2 + 2\gamma_d\sigma_u^2) \\ &= \exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\}\exp\{2\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2 - \sigma_u^2(1-\gamma_d)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The result follows by replacing (33), (35) and (36) in (30). □

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1

The MSE of $\tilde{\tau}_d$ is given by

$$\mathrm{MSE}(\tilde{\tau}_d) = \frac{1}{N_d^2}\left\{2\sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d}\sum_{j\in\bar{s}_d, j>i}\mathrm{MCPE}(\tilde{w}_{di},\tilde{w}_{dj}) + \sum_{i\in\bar{s}_d}\mathrm{MSE}(\tilde{w}_{di})\right\}.$$

The result follows by using Theorem 2 separately for $i \neq j$ and for $i = j$. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The mean crossed product error of a pair of individual first-stage predictors is given by

$$MCPE(\hat{w}_{di},\hat{w}_{dj}) = E(\hat{w}_{di}\hat{w}_{dj}) + E(w_{di}w_{dj}) - E(\hat{w}_{di}w_{dj}) - E(w_{di}\hat{w}_{dj}). \tag{37}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (37) is given in (33). Concerning the first term on the right hand side of (37), see that for all $i \in \bar{s}_d$, $\hat{w}_{di} = \exp(\hat{y}_{di} + \alpha_d)$, where $\hat{y}_{di}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_{di} &= \mathbf{x}'_{di}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \sigma_u^2 \mathbf{1}'_{n_d} \mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_{ds} - \mathbf{X}_{ds}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= \left\{\mathbf{x}'_{di}\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}'_s\mathbf{V}_s^{-1} + \sigma_u^2 \mathbf{m}'_d\mathbf{Z}'_s\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}'_s\mathbf{V}_s^{-1})\right\}\mathbf{y}_s \\ &= \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{y}_s, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

for the vector

$$\mathbf{b}_{di} = \mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{di} + \sigma_u^2\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d, \tag{39}$$

where $\mathbf{m}_d = (\mathbf{0}'_{d-1}, 1, \mathbf{0}'_{D-d})'$. Replacing in this expression $\mathbf{y}_s = \mathbf{X}_s\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{v}_s$, for $\mathbf{v}_s = \mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{e}_s$ and noting that $\mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{X}_s\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ because $\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{X}_s = \mathbf{0}_n$, we obtain

$$\hat{y}_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s,$$

Hence, the first-stage EB predictor of w_{di} can be expressed as

$$\hat{w}_{di} = \exp(\hat{y}_{di} + \alpha_d), \quad \hat{y}_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s. \tag{40}$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{w}_{di}\hat{w}_{dj}) &= E\left\{\exp(\hat{y}_{di} + \hat{y}_{dj} + 2\alpha_d)\right\} \\ &= \exp(2\alpha_d) E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\right] \\ &= \exp\{2\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\} E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation on the right hand side is the m.g.f. of the normal random vector $(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s$ evaluated at 1, that is,

$$E(\hat{w}_{di}\hat{w}_{dj}) = \exp\left\{(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj}) + 2\alpha_d\right\}. \tag{41}$$

Concerning the remaining expectations in (37), observe that

$$w_{di} = \exp(y_{di}), \quad y_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + v_{di} = \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{a}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s, \tag{42}$$

where $v_{di} = u_d + e_{di}$. By (40) and (42), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} y_{di} + \hat{y}_{dj} &= (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{a}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s + \mathbf{b}'_{dj}\mathbf{v}_s \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{a}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as before, using the moment generating function of a normal random vector, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(w_{di}\hat{w}_{dj}) &= E\left[\exp\left(y_{di} + \hat{y}_{dj} + \alpha_d\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right] E\left\{\exp\left[(\mathbf{a}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\right]\right\} \\ &= \exp\left[(\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{a}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj}) + \alpha_d\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Replacing (33), (41) and (43) in (37), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{MCPE}(\hat{w}_{di},\hat{w}_{dj}) &= \exp\left\{(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}\left[\exp\left\{2\sigma_u^2+\sigma_e^2(1+1_{\{i=j\}})\right\}\right.\\ &+\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})+2\alpha_d\right\}-\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})+\alpha_d\right\}\\ &\left.-\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{a}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{a}_{dj})+\alpha_d\right\}\right]. \qquad (44)\end{aligned}$$

Now using the definition of $\mathbf{b}_{di}$ given in (39) and $\mathbf{P}_s$ in (13) and taking into account that $\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{P}_s=\mathbf{0}_{p\times n}$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}) &= (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\mathbf{Q}_s(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})+4(\sigma_u^2)^2\mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d\\ &-4(\sigma_u^2)^2\mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d. \qquad (45)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{V}_s=\mathrm{diag}_{1\le d\le D}(\mathbf{V}_{ds})$ with $\mathbf{V}_{ds}=\sigma_u^2\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}'+\sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{n_d}$, $\mathbf{m}_d=(\mathbf{0}_{d-1}',1,\mathbf{0}_{D-d}')'$, $\mathbf{Z}_{ds}=\mathrm{diag}_{1\le d\le D}(\mathbf{1}_{n_d})$ and $\mathbf{X}_s=(\mathbf{X}_{1s}',\ldots,\mathbf{X}_{Ds}')'$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d=\frac{\gamma_d}{\sigma_u^2},\quad \mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s=\frac{\gamma_d}{\sigma_u^2}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'. \qquad (46)$$

Replacing (46) in (45), we finally obtain

$$(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})=(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\mathbf{Q}_s(\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})+4\gamma_d\left(\sigma_u^2-\gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}\right). \qquad (47)$$

Now observe that

$$(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})=\mathbf{a}_{di}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{a}_{di}+2\mathbf{b}_{dj}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dj}. \qquad (48)$$

But using the definition of $\mathbf{a}_{di}=(\mathbf{0}_{n_1}',\ldots,\mathbf{0}_{n_{d-1}}',\mathbf{m}_{di}',\mathbf{0}_{n_{d+1}}',\ldots,\mathbf{0}_{n_D}')'$ and $\mathbf{V}_s$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{a}_{di}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{a}_{di}=\sigma_u^2+\sigma_e^2. \qquad (49)$$

Moreover, using the definition of $\mathbf{b}_{dj}$ and $\mathbf{P}_s$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_{dj}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{a}_{di}=\mathbf{x}_{dj}'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{a}_{di}+\sigma_u^2\mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{a}_{di}-\sigma_u^2\mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{a}_{di} \qquad (50)$$

But it holds that

$$\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{a}_{di}=\mathbf{x}_{di},\quad \mathbf{m}_d'\mathbf{Z}_s'\mathbf{a}_{di}=1. \qquad (51)$$

Replacing the second identity in (46) and (51) in (50), we obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_{dj}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{a}_{di}=\sigma_u^2+(\mathbf{x}_{dj}-\gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds})'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{di}. \qquad (52)$$

Now similarly as in (45), we obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_{dj}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dj}=\mathbf{x}_{dj}'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{dj}+\gamma_d\left(\sigma_u^2-\gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}\right). \qquad (53)$$

Replacing (49), (52) and (53) in (48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{a}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}) &= \sigma_e^2+\sigma_u^2(3+\gamma_d)+2(\mathbf{x}_{dj}-\gamma_d\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds})'\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{x}_{di}\\ &+\mathbf{x}_{dj}'\mathbf{Q}_d\mathbf{x}_{dj}-\gamma_d^2\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}'\mathbf{Q}_s\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ds}. \qquad (54)\end{aligned}$$

The term $(\mathbf{a}_{dj}+\mathbf{b}_{di})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{a}_{dj}+\mathbf{b}_{di})$ is obtained analogously. Replacing this last term, together with (47) and (54) in (44), we obtain the desired result. □

Next we introduce a technical lemma that is required in the proofs of the remaining theorems.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{V}_s$, $\mathbf{Q}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be the matrices defined above. It holds*

(i) Condition (H1) implies $\|\mathbf{V}_s\| = O(1)$.

(ii) $\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\| = O(1)$.

(iii) Conditions (H1) and (H3) imply $\|\mathbf{Q}_s\| = O(D^{-1})$.

(iv) Condition (H4) implies $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\| = O(D^{-1})$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1

(i) Since $\mathbf{V}_s$ is symmetric and block-diagonal with blocks equal to $\mathbf{V}_{ds}$, $d = 1, \ldots, D$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{V}_s\| = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(\mathbf{V}_s^2) = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_s) = \max_{1\le d\le D}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_{ds})\}.$$

Now since $\mathbf{V}_{ds} = \sigma_u^2\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}' + \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{n_d}$, we have

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_d) \le \sigma_u^2\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}') + \sigma_e^2\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{I}_{n_d}) = \sigma_u^2 n_d + \sigma_e^2.$$

Then, by assumption (H1), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{V}_s\| = \max_{1\le d\le D}\{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_{ds})\} \le \sigma_u^2 \max_{1\le d\le D} n_d + \sigma_e^2 = O(1),$$

which implies (i). □

(ii) Similarly as before, we have

$$\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\| = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}) = \lambda_{\min}^{-1}(\mathbf{V}_s) = \left\{\min_{1\le d\le D}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{V}_{ds})\right\}^{-1}.$$

But again, using the expression of $\mathbf{V}_{ds} = \sigma_u^2\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}' + \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}_{n_d}$, we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{V}_d) \ge \sigma_u^2\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{1}_{n_d}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}') + \sigma_e^2\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{I}_{n_d}) = \sigma_e^2 > 0,$$

which is true for all $d \in \{1, \ldots, D\}$ and for all D. Therefore, $\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\| = (1)$. □

(iii) By the definition of $\mathbf{Q}_s = (\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_s\| = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{Q}_s) = \lambda_{\min}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s).$$

But by the definition of eigenvalue, we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s) = \min_v \frac{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s v}{v'v} = \min_v \left(\frac{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s v}{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s v}\frac{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s v}{v'v}\right)$$
$$\ge \left(\min_w \frac{w'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s w}{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s v}\right)\left(\min_v \frac{v'\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s v}{v'v}\right) = \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1})\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s)$$
$$= \lambda_{\max}^{-1}(\mathbf{V}_s)\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s).$$

Using (i) and assumption (H3), we finally get

$$D\|\mathbf{Q}_s\| = D\lambda_{\min}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{V}_s)}{D^{-1}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{X}_s)} = O(1),$$

which means that $\|\mathbf{Q}_s\| = O(D^{-1})$. □

(iv) Finally, condition (H4) implies

$$D\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\| = D\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{D^{-1}\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{F})} = O(1),$$

which is equivalent to $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\| = O(D^{-1})$. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Following the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 in Molina (2009), we obtain

$$E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}^E - \hat{w}_{dj})\right\} = E\left\{\left(\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right)\left(\mathbf{h}_{dj}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right)\right\} + o(D^{-1}), \quad (55)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{di} = \partial\hat{w}_{di}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Again, using the same ideas as in Theorem 2 in Molina (2009), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{\left(\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right)\left(\mathbf{h}_{dj}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right)\right\} = \\ &\exp\left\{2\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})\right\}\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)\right. \\ &\left.+\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}) + \frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}) + \frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)\right\} + o(D^{-1}). \quad (56) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (39), we can express $\mathbf{b}_{di}$ in terms of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_d$ as follows

$$\mathbf{b}_{di} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s(\mathbf{x}_{di} - \mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d). \quad (57)$$

By assumption (H1), it holds that $\|\mathbf{Z}_s\| = O(1)$. Moreover, $|\mathbf{m}_d| = 1$. Using Lemma 1 (ii), we get

$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}_d| = \sigma_u^2|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_s\mathbf{m}_d| \leq \sigma_u^2\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\|\|\mathbf{Z}_s\||\mathbf{m}_d| = O(1). \quad (58)$$

Now observe that by Lemma 1 (iii), we have

$$\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\| = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(\mathbf{Q}_s\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s) = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(\mathbf{Q}_s) = O(D^{-1/2}).$$

Since $\mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d = \mathbf{X}_{ds}'\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{n_d}$ which has bounded norm and $|\mathbf{x}_{di} - \mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d| \leq |\mathbf{x}_{di}| + |\mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d|$, by assumptions (H1)-(H3), we have

$$|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s(\mathbf{x}_{di} - \mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d)| \leq \|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1/2}\|\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}_s\mathbf{Q}_s\||\mathbf{x}_{di} - \mathbf{X}_s'\boldsymbol{\eta}_d| = O(D^{-1/2}). \quad (59)$$

From (57), (58) and (59), we have obtained

$$\mathbf{b}_{di} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \mathbf{f}_{di}, \quad |\boldsymbol{\eta}_d| = O(1), \quad |\mathbf{f}_{di}| = O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{60}$$

Note also that $|\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d / \partial \theta_h| = O(1)$, since

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \theta_h} = \mathbf{V}_s^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_u^2}{\partial \theta_h} \mathbf{I}_n - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_h \mathbf{V}_s^{-1} \right) \mathbf{Z}_s \mathbf{m}_d, \quad h = 1, 2.$$

This implies $\|\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}\| = O(1)$, because

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\|_2 = \mathrm{tr}^{1/2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)' \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\} = \left(\sum_{h=1}^{2} \left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \theta_h} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2} \max_{h \in \{1,2\}} \left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial \theta_h} \right|$$

By (57) and (59), we get for any i,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{b}_{di} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{di}, \quad |\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{di}| = o(D^{-1}). \tag{61}$$

Using repeatedly (61), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s (\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)' \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s (\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) \\ &= \left(2 \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)' \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(2 \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{V}_s \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) + o(D^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and using (47), we obtain

$$\exp \left\{ 2\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})' \mathbf{V}_s (\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj}) \right\} = E_{dij}, \tag{62}$$

for E_{dij} given in (16). Replacing (62) in (56) and then (56) in (55), we arrive to the desired result. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 5

The proof is based on the following chain of results:

(A) For every $\nu \in (0, 1)$, there exists a subset of the sample space $\mathcal{B}$ on which, for large D, it holds

$$\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di} = \mathbf{h}_{di}' \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{h}_{di}' \mathcal{F}^{-1} (H + \mathcal{F}^{-1}) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{h}_{di}' \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{d} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}' \mathcal{F}^{-1} S_{di} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_{di},$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{di} = \partial \hat{w}_{di} / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$, $S_{di} = \partial^2 \hat{w}_{di} / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^2$, $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2)'$, with $d_h = \mathbf{s}' \mathcal{F}^{-1} D_h \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$, $D_h = \partial H / \partial \theta_h$, $h = 1, 2$, and the remainder term $\mathbf{r}_{di}$ satisfies $|\mathbf{r}_{di}| < D^{-3\nu/2} w$, for a random variable w with bounded first and second moments.

(B) If $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the indicator function of the set $\mathcal{B}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} &= E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} \\ &+E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} \\ &+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

(C) $E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1})$.

(D) It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}+E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\} \\ &\quad+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\} \\ &\quad=M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

(E) It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1}), \\ &E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1}), \\ &E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1}), \\ &E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying in turn (C) and (B), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\} \\ &\quad=E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} \\ &\quad=E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+o(D^{-1}) \\ &\quad=E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} \\ &\quad+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, writing $1_{\mathcal{B}}=1-1_{\mathcal{B}^c}$ and applying (E) and (D), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\} \\ &\quad=E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}+E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\} \\ &\quad+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}+o(D^{-1}) \\ &\quad=M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Next we give the proofs of results (A)–(D).

Proof of (A): It is obtained by applying Lemma 3 of Molina (2009) to $\hat{w}_{di}^E = \hat{w}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the ML estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof of (B): Applying (A) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} &= E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} \\ &+E\left\{\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} + E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} \\ &+E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} + E\left\{\mathbf{r}_{di}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

But by Theorem 3, we know that $\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{w}_{dj}) = O(1)$ as D tends to infinity. Then, applying Hölder's inequality and taking $\nu \in (2/3, 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\{\mathbf{r}_{di}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\} &\leq E^{1/2}(\mathbf{r}_{di}^2 1_{\mathcal{B}})E^{1/2}\{(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})^2\} \\ &< D^{-3\nu/2}E^{1/2}(w^2)\{\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{w}_{dj})\}^{1/2} = o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Proof of (C): Noting that $\hat{w}_{di}^E = \exp(\hat{y}_{di}^E + \hat{\alpha}_d)$, for $\hat{y}_{di}^E = \hat{y}_{di}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ and $\hat{\alpha}_d = \alpha_d(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^E - \hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} \\ &\quad = E\left[\left\{\exp(\hat{y}_{di}^E + \hat{\alpha}_d) - \exp(\hat{y}_{di} + \alpha_d)\right\}\left\{\exp(\hat{y}_{dj} + \alpha_d) - \exp(y_{dj})\right\}1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] \\ &\quad \leq E\left[\exp(\hat{y}_{di}^E + \hat{y}_{dj} + \hat{\alpha}_d + \alpha_d)1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] + E\left[\exp(\hat{y}_{di} + y_{dj} + \alpha_d)1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

For $\nu \in (0, 1)$, we define the neighborhood $N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta : |\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0| < D^{-\nu/2}\}$. Using (38) and applying Hölder's inequality, the first expectation on the right-hand side of (68) can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp(\hat{y}_{di}^E + \hat{y}_{dj} + \hat{\alpha}_d + \alpha_d)1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] &\leq \exp\left\{2\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}\alpha_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right\} \\ &\times E\left[\exp\left\{\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}(\mathbf{b}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{b}_{dj}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))'\mathbf{y}_s\right\}1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] \\ &\leq \exp\left\{2\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}\alpha_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right\}E^{1/2}\left[\exp\left\{2\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}(\mathbf{b}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{b}_{dj}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))'\mathbf{y}_s\right\}\right]P^{1/2}(\mathcal{B}^c). \end{aligned}$$

But the suprema of $|\alpha_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})|$ and $|\mathbf{b}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|$ over $N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ are bounded. Moreover, since $\mathbf{y}_s$ is normally distributed, the expected value on the right-hand side of the inequality is bounded. Now by Lemma 1 of Molina (2009) with $\nu = \eta \in (0, 3/4)$ and $b > 16$, we get

$$P^{1/2}(\mathcal{B}^c) = O(D^{-b/16}) = o(D^{-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$E\left[\exp(\hat{y}_{di}^{E}+\hat{y}_{dj}+\hat{\alpha}_d+\alpha_d)1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right]=o(D^{-1}). \tag{69}$$

Similarly, writing $y_{dj}=\mathbf{a}'_{dj}\mathbf{y}_s$, we have

$$E\left[\exp(\hat{y}_{di}+y_{dj}+\alpha_d)1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right]\leq \exp(\alpha_d)E^{1/2}\left[\exp\left\{\left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}\mathbf{b}_{di}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+\mathbf{a}_{dj}\right)'\mathbf{y}_s\right\}\right]P^{1/2}(\mathcal{B}^c)$$

$$=o(D^{-1}). \tag{70}$$

Replacing (69) and (70) in (68), we obtain

$$E\left\{(\hat{w}_{di}^{E}-\hat{w}_{di})(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}=o(D^{-1}).$$

Proof of (D): Consider the first term on the left-hand side of (64), given by

$$E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj}-w_{dj})\right\}=E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right)-E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right)$$

Using (42) and taking into account that

$$\mathbf{h}_{di}=\exp(\delta_{di})\partial\delta_{di}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta},\quad \delta_{di}=\alpha_d+\mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s, \tag{71}$$

we obtain

$$E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right)=\exp\left(\alpha_d+\mathbf{x}'_{dij}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{a}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right]. \tag{72}$$

Similarly, using (40) we obtain

$$E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right)=\exp\left(2\alpha_d+\mathbf{x}'_{dij}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right]. \tag{73}$$

Now by (60), we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{a}_{dj}=(\boldsymbol{\eta}_d+\mathbf{a}_{dj})+\mathbf{f}_{di},\quad |\boldsymbol{\eta}_d+\mathbf{a}_{dj}|\leq|\boldsymbol{\eta}_d|+|\mathbf{a}_{dj}|=O(1),\quad |\mathbf{f}_{di}|=O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{74}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{b}_{di}+\mathbf{b}_{dj}=2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d+(\mathbf{f}_{di}+\mathbf{f}_{dj}),\quad |\boldsymbol{\eta}_d|=O(1),\quad |\mathbf{f}_{di}+\mathbf{f}_{dj}|\leq|\mathbf{f}_{di}|+|\mathbf{f}_{dj}|=O(D^{-1/2}).$$

Thus, the two expectations (72) and (73) are asymptotically very similar. We calculate the former and the latter will be analogous. We can express (72) as

$$E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right], \tag{75}$$

where, taking $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_d+\mathbf{a}_{dj}$, we have

$$\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}=\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}+\mathbf{f}_{di},\quad |\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}|=O(1),\quad |\mathbf{f}_{di}|=O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{76}$$

To calculate the expected value (75), first note that $\delta_{di} = \alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s$ and define

$$\mathbf{g}_d = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = (g_{d1}, g_{d2})', \quad C_{di} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}'_{di}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{c}_{di1}, \mathbf{c}_{di2})'. \tag{77}$$

Then, we can write

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial \delta_{di}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}'_{di}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{v}_s = \mathbf{g}_d + C_{di}\mathbf{v}_s, \tag{78}$$

Moreover, denoting $\mathbf{A}_h = \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s$, $q_h = \mathbf{v}'_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{v}_s$, $h = 1, 2$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)'$, the vector of scores (14) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q}) + \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2)', \quad \nu_h = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h)\right\}. \tag{79}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\left(\frac{\partial \delta_{di}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}'_d E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})\right] \\ &+\mathbf{g}'_d\boldsymbol{\nu}E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\right] + \frac{1}{2}E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\mathbf{v}'_sC'_{di}(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})\right] + E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\mathbf{v}'_sC'_{di}\right]\boldsymbol{\nu} \\ &= T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{13} + T_{14}. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Using Lemma 5(iv) of Molina (2009), we calculate the first term on the right-hand side of (80),

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}'_d E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})\right] = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)(q_h - Eq_h)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}E\left[\exp({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s)\left\{\mathbf{v}'_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{v}_s - E(\mathbf{v}'_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{v}_s)\right\}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}{\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}{\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}. \end{aligned}$$

But by the definition of $\mathbf{A}_h = \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s$ and $\mathbf{P}_s$, it is easy to see that

$${\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^*_{dij} = {\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^*_{dij} = {\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{b}^*_{dij} + O(D^{-1/2}).$$

and using the expression of $\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}$ given in (76), we obtain

$${\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{b}^*_{dij} = {\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}}'\boldsymbol{\Delta}_d\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj} + O(D^{-1/2}).$$

Since $\mathbf{g}_d = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial\alpha_d/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}$ with $|\mathbf{g}_d| = O(D^{-1})$, letting $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*_{dj} = \underset{1\le h\le 2}{\mathrm{col}}({\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}}'\boldsymbol{\Delta}_d\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj})$ we obtain

$$T_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}{\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*_{dj} + o(D^{-1}).$$

As to the second term on the right-hand side of (80), observe that the involved expected value is the moment generating function of $\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s$ evaluated at one. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12} &= \mathbf{g}_d'\boldsymbol{\nu} E\left[\exp(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s)\right] = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\mathbf{g}_d'\boldsymbol{\nu} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term in (80), using Lemma 5 (v) of Molina (2009), we obtain

$$T_{13} = \frac{1}{2}E\left[\exp(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s)\mathbf{v}_s'C_{di}'(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q})\right] = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2}E\left[\exp(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s)(\mathbf{q}_h-E\mathbf{q}_h)\mathbf{v}_s\right]'\mathbf{c}_{dih}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\left\{2\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih} + (\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*)\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right\}.$$

Finally, applying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^* &= \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^* + O(D^{-1/2}), \\ \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih} &= \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{c}_{dih} + o(D^{-1}), \\ \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih} &= \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih} + o(D^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

applying the definition of C_{di} given in (77), using $\mathbf{E}_{dj}^* = (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj})$ and the relation

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}_{di}'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}_{di}'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right| = o(D^{-1}), \tag{81}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{13} &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\left\{\mathrm{tr}(C_{di}\mathbf{E}_{dj}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_sC_{di}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{dj}^*\right\} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}_{dj}^*\right) + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{dj}^*\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying Lemma 5(i) of Molina (2009), the definition of C_{di} in (77), (76) and (81), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{14} &= \boldsymbol{\nu}'C_{di}E\left[\exp(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s)\mathbf{v}_s\right] = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\boldsymbol{\nu}'C_{di}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^* \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\boldsymbol{\nu}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^* + o(D^{-1}) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right)\boldsymbol{\nu}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^* + o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the obtained results in (80), we arrive to

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s)\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right] &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right) \\ &\times\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}_{dj}^*\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(2\boldsymbol{\nu}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{dj}^*)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

This leads to

$$E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right) = \exp\left(\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{dij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^{*}_{dij}{}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^{*}_{dij}\right)$$
$$\times\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}'_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}^{*}_{dj}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}'_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}^{*}_{dj}\right)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(2\boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*}_{dj})\right\}. \quad (83)$$

But noting that $\mathbf{b}^{*}_{dij} = \mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{a}_{dj}$ and using (54) with i exchanged with j, we obtain

$$\exp\left\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}^{*}_{dij}{}'\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}^{*}_{dij}\right\} = E^{*}_{dij}, \quad (84)$$

for E^{*}_{dij} defined in (19). Replacing (84) in (83), we have finally obtained

$$E\left(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right) = E^{*}_{dij}\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}'_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{E}^{*}_{dj}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}'_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}^{*}_{dj}\right)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(2\boldsymbol{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*}_{dj})\right\}. \quad (85)$$

The expected value $E(\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj})$ is obtained by replacing E^{*}_{dij} by E_{dij}, $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{*}_{dj}$ by $2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d$, $\mathbf{E}^{*}_{dj}$ by $\mathbf{E}_d$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*}_{dj}$ by $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d$ in (85) .

Concerning the second term on the right-hand side of (64), similarly as before,

$$E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right\}$$
$$= E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right\} - E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right\}.$$

By (42), (40) and (71), we get

$$E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right\} = \exp\left\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}$$
$$\times E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{a}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right], \quad (86)$$

$$E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right\} = \exp\left\{2\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}$$
$$\times E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H + \mathcal{F})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right]. \quad (87)$$

We calculate the expected value in (86). For this, note that $H = \partial^2 l_P/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2 = (H_{hk})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{hk})$, with

$$H_{hk} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) - \mathbf{v}'_s\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{v}_s,$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{hk} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) + \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s).$$

Adding, we obtain $H_{hk} + \mathcal{F}_{hk} = -(q_{hk} - Eq_{hk})$, for the quadratic form $q_{hk} = \mathbf{v}'_s\mathbf{A}_{hk}\mathbf{v}_s$ defined in terms of the matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_{hk} = \mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s, \quad \|\mathbf{A}_{hk}\| = (1).$$

By (78) and (79), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\exp\{\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right]\\
&=-\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{di}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_{hk}-Eq_{hk})(g_{dh}+\mathbf{v}_s'\mathbf{c}_{dih})(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\left\{\frac{1}{2}(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)+\nu_\ell\right\}\right]\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{di}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_{hk}-Eq_{hk})(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)\mathbf{v}_s'\right]\mathbf{c}_{dih}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\,g_{dh}\,E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{di}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_{hk}-Eq_{hk})(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\,\nu_\ell E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{di}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_{hk}-Eq_{hk})\mathbf{v}_s'\right]\mathbf{c}_{dih}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\,g_{dh}\,\nu_\ell E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{di}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_{hk}-Eq_{hk})\right]\\
&=T_{21}+T_{22}+T_{23}+T_{24}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{88}
$$

By Lemma 5 (ix) of Molina (2009), it holds that

$$
T_{21}=-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}_{hk}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\right)\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}+o(D^{-1}).
$$

Similarly, Lemma 5 (viii) of Molina (2009) yields

$$
T_{22}=-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}g_{dh}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}_{hk}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\right)+o(D^{-1}).
$$

For the last two terms in (88), applying Lemma 5 (v) and (iv) of Molina (2009), we get

$$
T_{23}=o(D^{-1}),\quad T_{24}=o(D^{-1}).
$$

Noting that

$$
\phi_{hk\ell}=\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{hk}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s)=\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_h\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_k\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s)=O(D)
$$

and using the definition of $\mathbf{g}_d$ and C_{di} in (77) and using also (76), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\exp\{\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right]\\
&=-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\,\phi_{hk\ell}\left(g_{dh}+\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right)+o(D^{-1})\\
&=-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{k\ell}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'+\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{1k\ell}\\ \phi_{2k\ell}\end{pmatrix}\\
&=-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}_{dj}^{*})+o(D^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering (84), the obtained result is

$$E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right\} = -E^*_{dij}\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}^*_{dj}) + o(D^{-1}). \tag{89}$$

The expected value $E\left\{\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H+\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right\}$ is obtained simply by replacing E^*_{dij} by E_{dij} and $\mathbf{G}^*_{dj}$ by $\mathbf{G}_d$ in (89).

Next we spell out the third term on the right-hand side of (64). We start noting that by (42) and (78), it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}\,w_{dj} = \frac{1}{2}\exp\left\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)(\mathbf{g}_d + C_{di}\mathbf{v}_s)'\mathbf{d} \\
&= \exp\left\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}d_h + \frac{1}{2}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\mathbf{c}'_{dih}\mathbf{v}_s\,d_h\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di}+\mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\}(T_3+T_4)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

But recall that $d_h = \mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}$, for $D_h = \partial H/\partial\theta_h = (-a_{htk} + \mathbf{v}'_s A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s)_{tk}$, $h = 1, 2$, where a_{htk} and A_{htk} are defined in (17) and (18). Using (79), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}\left(\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q})'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q})'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}\boldsymbol{\nu}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu} \\
&= T_{31} + T_{32} + T_{33}.
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Using the definition of D_h and writing $\mathbf{v}'_s A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s = \mathrm{tr}(A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s\mathbf{v}'_s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{31} &= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} \\
&\times\ a_{htk}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_\ell - Eq_\ell)(q_m - Eq_m) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} \\
&\times\ \mathrm{tr}\left[A_{htk}\exp\left({\mathbf{b}^*_{dij}}'\mathbf{v}_s\right)\mathbf{v}_s(q_\ell - Eq_\ell)(q_m - Eq_m)\mathbf{v}'_s\right] \\
&= T_{311} + T_{312}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation and applying Lemma 5 (viii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{311}) &= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh} \sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} a_{htk} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right) \\ &\times \left\{2\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) + 4\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}\right. \\ &+ \left. 2\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}\right)\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

But note that $g_{dh} = O(D^{-1})$, $(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell} = O(D^{-1})$, for all t and ℓ and $a_{htk} = O(D)$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*} \leq |\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_\ell\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}| \leq |\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}|^2\|\mathbf{V}_s\|^2\|\mathbf{P}_s\|^2\|\mathbf{\Delta}_\ell\| = O(1)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij} = O(1).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{311}) &= -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh} \sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} a_{htk} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right) \\ &\times \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, take into account that

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\right) = \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*} \leq |\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}|^2\|\mathbf{V}_s\|^2\|A_{htk}\| = O(1).$$

and note that $\mathbf{V}_s$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_h$, for all h, are block-diagonal. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_h\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_t\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_h\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_t\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_k\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_m) + \text{terms of lower order} \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{D}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_{hd}\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_{td}\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_{kd}\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\mathbf{\Delta}_{md}) + \text{terms of lower order} \\ &\leq \sum_{d=1}^{D} n_d\|\mathbf{V}_{ds}^{-1}\|^4\|\mathbf{\Delta}_{hd}\|\|\mathbf{\Delta}_{td}\|\|\mathbf{\Delta}_{kd}\|\|\mathbf{\Delta}_{md}\| + \text{terms of lower order} \\ &= O(D). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5 (x) of Molina (2009), the above results imply that

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{312}) &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh} \sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right) \\ &\times \mathrm{tr}\left(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s\right)\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation $p_{htk} = -a_{htk} + \mathrm{tr}\left(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s\right)$, we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{31}) &= \frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2} g_{dh} \sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} \\ &\times \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s)p_{htk} + o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that $E(T_{32}) = o(D^{-1})$ and $E(T_{33}) = o(D^{-1})$. Therefore, $E(T_3) = E(T_{31}) + o(D^{-1})$.

Now we deal with the second term in (90),

$$\begin{aligned}
T_4 &= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\mathbf{c}_{dih}'\mathbf{v}_s\left(\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\mathbf{c}_{dih}'\mathbf{v}_s\left(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q})\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\mathbf{c}_{dih}'\mathbf{v}_s\left(\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu}\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\mathbf{c}_{dih}'\mathbf{v}_s\left(\boldsymbol{\nu}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}D_h\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu}\right)\\
&= T_{41}+T_{42}+T_{43}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is the same as (91) with g_{dh} replaced by $\mathbf{c}_{dih}'\mathbf{v}_s$. Since $\mathbf{c}_{dih}$ has the same order as g_{dh}, there is little change, only the resulting expected values contain an extra $\mathbf{v}_s$ term. For example, the expected value of T_{41} is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(T_{41}) &= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}a_{htk}\\
&\times E\left\{\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)(q_m-Eq_m)\mathbf{v}_s'\right\}\mathbf{c}_{dih}\\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\\
&\times E(\mathbf{v}_s'A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s)E\left\{\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)(q_m-Eq_m)\mathbf{v}_s'\right\}\mathbf{c}_{dih}\\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\\
&\times E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\left\{\mathbf{v}_s'A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s-E(\mathbf{v}_s'A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s)\right\}(q_\ell-Eq_\ell)(q_m-Eq_m)\mathbf{v}_s'\right]\mathbf{c}_{dih}\\
&= T_{411}+T_{412}+T_{413}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5 (ix) in Molina (2009), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{411} &= -\frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}a_{htk}\\
&\quad\times\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s)\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}+o(D^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{412} &= \frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\\
&\quad\times\mathrm{tr}(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s)\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}+o(D^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, concerning T_{413}, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\left\{\mathbf{v}_s^{\prime}A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s - E(\mathbf{v}_s^{\prime}A_{htk}\mathbf{v}_s)\right\}(q_\ell - Eq_\ell)(q_m - Eq_m)\mathbf{v}_s^{\prime}\right]\mathbf{c}_{dih} \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right) E\left[\left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{htk}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\}\right. \\
&\times \left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{\ell}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\} \\
&\times \left.\left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{m}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{k}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for a random vector $\mathbf{w} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_n, \mathbf{V}_s)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{413} &= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km} \\
&\times E\left[\left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{htk}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{htk}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\}\right. \\
&\times \left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{\ell}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\} \\
&\times \left.\left\{(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}A_{m}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}) - \mathrm{tr}(A_{k}\mathbf{V}_s)\right\}(\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*})^{\prime}\right]\mathbf{c}_{dih}.
\end{aligned}
$$

After straightforward algebra and applying repeatedly Lemma 4 in Molina (2009), we obtain that the expected value in T_{413} is $O(D)$, which means that $T_{413} = o(D^{-1})$. Similarly, applying Lemma 5 (v) and (vi) in Molina (2009), we obtain $T_{42} = o(D^{-1})$ and the same occurs for T_{43} by Lemma 5 (i) and (v). Using (84), we have then obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}^{\prime}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}\,w_{dj}\right) &= \frac{1}{4}E_{dij}^{*}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) \\
&\times \left(g_{dh} + \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right)p_{htk} + o(D^{-1}). \qquad (92)
\end{aligned}
$$

But since $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_k\mathbf{P}_s$ and $\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{P}_s = \mathbf{P}_s$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_{\ell}\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_{\ell}\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_{\ell}\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m)\right\} \\
&= \mathcal{F}_{\ell m} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_{\ell}\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m)\right\}, \qquad (93)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\frac{1}{D}\left\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\ell}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_{\ell}\mathbf{P}_s\mathbf{\Delta}_m)\right\} = o(1).
$$

Substituting (93) into (92), writing the sums in terms of a trace of a product of matrices and using $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)^{\prime}$ with $\varsigma_h = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}P_h\right)$, $h = 1, 2$, we arrive to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}_{di}^{\prime}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}\,w_{dj}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}E_{dij}^{*}\sum_{h=1}^{2}\left(g_{dh} + \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right)\varsigma_h + o(D^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}E_{dij}^{*}\left(\mathbf{g}_d + C_{di}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\varsigma} + o(D^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using (77) and (74) and noting that $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \mathbf{a}_{dj}$, we get

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}\, w_{dj}\right) = \frac{1}{2}E^*_{dij}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}'_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varsigma} + o(D^{-1}). \tag{94}$$

The expected value $E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right)$ is obtained by replacing E^*_{dij} by E_{dij} and $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{dj}$ by $2\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}$ in (94).

It remains to calculate $E\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right\}$, where $S_{di} = \partial^2\hat{w}_{di}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2$. But recall that $w_{di} = \exp(\delta_{di})$, with $\delta_{di} = \alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s$. Then,

$$S_{di} = \frac{\partial^2\hat{w}_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2} = \exp(\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s)\left\{\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2} + \left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\right\}.$$

Since $w_{dj} = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{di}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{a}'_{di}\mathbf{v}_s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\, w_{dj} &= \frac{1}{2}\exp\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{di}\mathbf{v}_s\} \\
&\times \left\{\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right\} \\
&= \exp\{\alpha_d + (\mathbf{x}_{di} + \mathbf{x}_{dj})'\boldsymbol{\beta}\}(T_5 + T_6).
\end{aligned}$$

We start with T_5. Replacing $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})/2 + \boldsymbol{\nu}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_5 &= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\left(\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\nu}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu}\right) \\
&= T_{51} + T_{52} + T_{53}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we can express the second-order derivatives of δ_{di} as

$$\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k} = \alpha_{d,tk} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}'_{di,tk}\mathbf{v}_s, \quad \alpha_{d,tk} = \frac{\partial^2\alpha_d}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk} = \frac{\partial^2\mathbf{b}_{di}}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k},$$

where it holds that

$$|\alpha_{d,tk}| = O(1), \quad |\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk}| = O(1).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{51} &= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q})'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - E\mathbf{q}) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}^{*\prime}_{dij}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}(\alpha_{d,tk} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}'_{di,tk}\mathbf{v}_s) \\
&\times (q_\ell - Eq_\ell)(q_m - Eq_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expected value, using Lemma 5 (viii) and (ix) in Molina (2009) and discarding $o(D^{-1})$ terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{51}) &= \frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) \\ &\times (\alpha_{d,tk}+\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk})+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Lemma 5 (iv), (v) and (i) lead to $E(T_{52}) = o(D^{-1})$ and $E(T_{53}) = o(D^{-1})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_{5}) &= \frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) \\ &\times (\alpha_{d,tk}+\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk})+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$T_6 = \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{v}_s\right)\left\{\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)\right\}^2.$$

Replacing $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{q}-E\mathbf{q})/2+\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial\delta_{di}/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{g}_d + C_{di}\mathbf{v}_s$, taking square and expected value, applying repeatedly Lemma 5 in Molina (2009) and discarding $o(D^{-1})$ terms, we arrive to

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_6) &= \frac{1}{4}\exp\left(\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\right)\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) \\ &\times \left\{\mathbf{c}_{di\ell}'\left(\mathbf{V}_s+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\right)\mathbf{c}_{dim}+g_{d\ell}g_{dm}+2g_{d\ell}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right\}+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Considering (84), we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right) = \\ &\quad\frac{1}{4}E_{dij}^{*}\sum_{t=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{t\ell}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{km}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s)(\alpha_{d,tk}+\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk}) \\ &\quad+\frac{1}{4}E_{dij}^{*}\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_\ell\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{V}_s) \\ &\quad\times\left\{\mathbf{c}_{di\ell}'\left(\mathbf{V}_s+\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\right)\mathbf{c}_{dim}+g_{d\ell}g_{dm}+2g_{d\ell}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right\}+o(D^{-1}) \\ &\quad= T_7+T_8+o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

But since $\mathbf{b}_{di} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d + \mathbf{f}_{di}$, with $|\mathbf{f}_{di}| = O(D^{-1/2})$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk} = \frac{\partial^2\mathbf{b}_{di}}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k} = \frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k}+\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}_{di}}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left|\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{di}}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k}\right| = O(D^{-1/2}).$$

Using also (76), we obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{di,tk} = \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k} + O(D^{-1/2}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k} + O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{96}$$

Making use of (93) and (96) and noting that $\mathbf{A}_d = (\alpha_{d,tk})$ and $\mathbf{B}_{dj}^* = (b_{dj,tk}^*)$ with $b_{dj,tk}^* = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s(\partial^2\boldsymbol{\eta}_d/\partial\theta_t\partial\theta_k)$, we obtain

$$T_7 = \frac{1}{2}E_{dij}^*\mathrm{tr}\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_d + \mathbf{B}_{dj}^*)\right\} + o(D^{-1}). \tag{97}$$

For the second term, using (93), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_8 &= \frac{1}{4}E_{dij}^*\sum_{\ell=1}^{2}\sum_{m=1}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{m\ell}\left\{\mathbf{c}_{di\ell}'\left(\mathbf{V}_s + \mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\right)\mathbf{c}_{dim} + g_{d\ell}g_{dm} + 2g_{d\ell}\mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{c}_{dih}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}E_{dij}^*\left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(C_{di}\mathbf{V}_sC_{di}'\mathcal{F}\right) + \mathbf{b}_{dij}^{*\prime}\mathbf{V}_sC_{di}'\mathcal{F}C_{di}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^* + \mathbf{g}_d'\mathcal{F}\mathbf{g}_d + 2\mathbf{g}_d'\mathcal{F}C_{di}\mathbf{V}_s\mathbf{b}_{dij}^*\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, making use of (77) and (76), we obtain

$$T_8 = \frac{1}{2}E_{dij}^*\left[\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_d}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\eta}_d'}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{V}_s\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dj}^*\right)\right]. \tag{98}$$

Note that $T_8 = M_{2d,ij}^*/2$. Replacing T_8 and T_7 given in (97) in (95), we obtain

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right) = \frac{1}{2}M_{2d,ij}^* + E_{dij}^*\mathrm{tr}\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{A}_d + \mathbf{B}_{dj}^*}{2}\right\} + o(D^{-1}). \tag{99}$$

The expression for $E\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}S_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right)$ is obtained by substituting $M_{2d,ij}^*$ by $M_{2d,ij}$, E_{dij}^* by E_{dij} and $\mathbf{B}_{dj}^*$ by $\mathbf{B}_d$ in (99). The result then follows by replacing (85), (89), (94) and (99) and the analogous expected values that contain $\hat{w}_{di}$ instead of w_{di} in the left-hand side of (64).

Proof of (E): Note that

$$E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} \le E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} + E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\}. \tag{100}$$

By the definition of $\mathbf{h}_{di}$ in (71) and that of $\hat{w}_{dj}$ in (40), we obtain

$$E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} = \exp(2\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}_{dij}'\boldsymbol{\beta})E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right]$$

and applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}_{di}'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right|1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} &= \exp(2\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}_{dij}'\boldsymbol{\beta})E^{1/2}\left[\exp\{2(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{b}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\right] \\ &\times E^{1/2}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^2 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality again, we obtain

$$E^{1/2}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^2 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] \leq E^{1/4}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^4\right] P^{1/4}(\mathcal{B}^c). \tag{102}$$

Hölder's inequality leads again to

$$E^{1/4}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^4\right] \leq E^{1/8}\left|\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|^8 E^{1/8}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^8. \tag{103}$$

In the proof of Theorem 1 in Molina (2009), it is checked that

$$E^{1/8}\left|\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|^8 = O(1), \quad E^{1/8}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^8 = O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{104}$$

Results (104) imply that

$$E^{1/4}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right|^4\right] = O(D^{-1/2}) \tag{105}$$

Moreover, applying Lemma 1 in Molina (2009) with $\nu = \eta \in (0, 3/4)$, we obtain

$$P^{1/4}(\mathcal{B}^c) = O(D^{-b/32}). \tag{106}$$

Replacing results (105) and (106) in (102), we obtain

$$E^{1/2}\left[\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right|^2\right] = O(D^{-1/2-b/32}) = o(D^{-1}) \tag{107}$$

taking $b > 16$. Replacing in turn (107) in (101), taking into account that $\mathbf{y}_s$ is normally distributed and that $\exp(2\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{dij}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\mathbf{b}_{di}$ are bounded, we obtain

$$E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,\hat{w}_{dj}\right| 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} = o(D^{-1}). \tag{108}$$

By a similar reasoning, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\,w_{dj}\right| 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} &\leq \exp(\alpha_d + \mathbf{x}'_{dij}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &\times E\left[\exp\{(\mathbf{b}_{di} + \mathbf{a}_{dj})'\mathbf{v}_s\}\left|\left(\frac{\partial\delta_{di}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)'\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}\right| 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right] = o(D^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

By (108), (109) and (100), we obtain

$$E\left\{\left|\mathbf{h}'_{di}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\hat{w}_{dj} - w_{dj})\right| 1_{\mathcal{B}^c}\right\} = o(D^{-1}).$$

The remaining results in (E) are proved similarly. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 8

For $\nu \in (0,1)$, let us define the neighborhood

$$N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \{(\boldsymbol{\beta}', \boldsymbol{\theta}')' \in \Theta \times I\!R^p; |\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0| < D^{-\nu/2},\ |\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0| < D^{-\nu/2}\}.$$

By a first order Taylor expansion of $M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ around $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ evaluated at the ML estimates $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \\ &+ \left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*', \boldsymbol{\theta}_*')' \in N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$. Taking expected value, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \\ &+E\left[\left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[\left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \left.\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right|_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}_*, \boldsymbol{\theta}_*)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\right] \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right|\right) E|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0| + \left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right|\right) |\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0| \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

By Lemma 1 in Molina (2009), for every $\nu \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}^*,$$

where $|\mathbf{r}^*| \leq D^{-\nu}E(u^*)$, where $E(u^*) = O(1)$; hence, $|\mathbf{r}^*| = O(D^{-\nu})$. As a consequence, we have

$$E|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0| \leq E|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}| + E|\mathbf{r}^*|,$$

and since $E|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{s}| = O(D^{-1/2})$, we obtain that

$$E|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0| = O(D^{-1/2-\nu}),\ \nu \in (0,1). \tag{112}$$

Note also that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = \mathbf{Q}_s(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\mathbf{X}_s'\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\mathbf{v}_s.$$

Then, we can write

$$E|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0| = \left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \|\mathbf{Q}_s(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|\right)\left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|\right)\|\mathbf{X}_s\| E|\mathbf{v}_s|.$$

By Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii), we know that at the true value of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $\|\mathbf{Q}_s\| = O(D^{-1})$ and $\|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}\| = O(1)$. By continuity of $\mathbf{Q}_s(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, we have

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \|\mathbf{Q}_s(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| = O(D^{-1}), \quad \sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \|\mathbf{V}_s^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| = O(1).$$

Considering the facts that $\|\mathbf{X}_s\| = O(D^{1/2})$ and $E|\mathbf{v}_s| = O(1)$, we obtain

$$E|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0| = O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{113}$$

By replacing (113) and (112) in (111), the desired result is obtained if the following conditions hold:

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right| = O(D^{-1/2}), \quad \sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right| = o(D^{-1/2}).$$

Now write (20) as

$$M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta}) M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Now note that $M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and that

$$\frac{\partial E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{x}_{dij}, \quad \frac{\partial E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{x}_{dij},$$

Then, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right| \leq E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})|\mathbf{x}_{dij}||M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| + E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})|\mathbf{x}_{dij}||M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right| \quad &\leq \quad \left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)|\mathbf{x}_{dij}|\left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} |M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\right) \\ &+ \quad \left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)|\mathbf{x}_{dij}|\left(\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0))} |M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\right)\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

We know that $|\mathbf{x}_{dij}| = O(1)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the suprema over $N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$ of $E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are bounded. Finally, it is also easy but cumbersome to check that

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} |M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| = O(D^{-1}), \quad \sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} |M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| = O(D^{-1}).$$

By (114), this implies

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \left|\frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}\right| = O(D^{-1}).$$

It also holds that

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \frac{\partial E_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = O(1), \quad \sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \frac{\partial E^*_{dij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = O(1) \tag{115}$$

and that

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \left| \frac{\partial M_{31,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right| = O(D^{-1/2}), \quad \sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \left| \frac{\partial M_{32,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right| = O(D^{-1/2}). \tag{116}$$

Relations (115) and (116) imply that

$$\sup_{N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \left| \frac{\partial M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right| = O(D^{-1/2}).$$

Finally, (110) and (111) lead to

$$E\left[M_{3d,ij}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}},\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] = M_{3d,ij}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o(D^{-1}),$$

which is our desired result. □

References

Battese, G. E., Harter, R. M. and Fuller, W. A. (1988). An Error-Components Model for Prediction of County Crop Areas Using Survey and Satellite Data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **83**, 28–36.

Butar, F. B. and Lahiri, P. (2003). On measures of uncertainty of empirical Bayes small-area estimators. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **112**, 63–76.

Das, K., Jiang, J. and Rao, J.N.K. (2004). Mean squared error of empirical predictor. *The Annals of Statistics*, **32**, 814–840.

Elbers, C., Lanjouw, J. O. and Lanjouw, P. (2003). Micro-level estimation of poverty and inequality. *Econometrica*, **71**, 355–364.

González-Manteiga, W., Lombardía, M. J., Molina, I., Morales, D. and Santamaría, L. (2008). Bootstrap mean squared error of a small-area EBLUP. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, **78**, 443–462.

Hall, P. and Maiti, T. (2006). Nonparametric estimation of mean-squared prediction error in nested-error regression models. *The Annals of Statistics*, **34**, 1733–1750.

Miller, J.J. (1973). Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimates in the mixed model of the analysis of variance. *The Annals of Statistics*, **5**, 746–762.

Molina, I. (2009). Uncertainty under a multivariate nested-error regression model with logarithmic transformation, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **100**, 963–980.

Molina, I. and Rao, J.N.K. (2010). Small area estimation of poverty indicators. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, **38**, 369–385.

Pfeffermann, D. (2013). New Important Developments in Small Area Estimation, *Statistical Science*, **28**, 40–68.

Pfeffermann, D. and Tiller, R. (2005). Bootstrap approximation to prediction MSE for state-space models with estimated parameters, *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, **26**, 893–916.

Rao, J. N. K. (2003). *Small Area Estimation*. London: Wiley.

Slud, E. and Maiti, T. (2006). Mean-squared error estimation in transformed Fay-Herriot models, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, **68**, 239–257.